Wisdom is not the product of schooling but the lifelong attempt to acquire it. - Albert Einstein
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The Aided, Collective Human Mind — Exploiting the Social Power

- Power of Collective Human Minds, Aided by Technology
- 2500 BC
- Reading & Writing
- 1500
- Printing Press
- 1980
- Computers
- 2000
- Collaborative Systems
- time

Collaborative Systems
Collaboration — With Whom?

- **ourselves** — e.g., capturing our thoughts of the past \(\rightarrow\) reflexive CSCW

- **all stakeholders** — e.g., clients, designers, customers, users \(\rightarrow\) symmetry of ignorance, communities of interest (Col)

- **colleagues** — e.g., supporting long-term, indirect collaboration
  \(\rightarrow\) collaborative work practices, design rationale

- **tools** — e.g., knowing which tools exist, how they can be used, how they can be tailored to our specific needs \(\rightarrow\) high-functionality applications

- **domains** — e.g., domain abstractions (ontologies), standard examples
  \(\rightarrow\) communities of practices (CoP), catalog examples, cases

- **critics and agents** — e.g., shared knowledge of the task at hand, information volunteering \(\rightarrow\) intrusiveness, information volunteering
Distributed Cognition

- **between:**
  - spatially (across space)
  - temporally (across time)
  - socially (human beings) → challenge: increase in socially shared cognition and practice — Wittgenstein: “If a lion could speak would we understand him?”
  - technologically (humans and things/computational artifacts)

- **advantage of humans:**
  - shared understanding
  - background knowledge

- **advantage of things** (Ivan Illich,):
  “a thing is available at the bidding of the user — or could be — whereas persons formally become a skill resource only when they consent to do so, and they can also restrict time, place, and methods as they choose.”
  → the “Nobel Prize Winner” fallacy
Classification of Collaborative Systems

- **Distributed Computing / Distributed AI (DAI)**
  - computers and computers
  - all information must be interpretable by computer

- **Collaborative (Design) Environments (CPS)**
  - computers and humans
  - mixture between interpretable and computer-mediated information structures

- **Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) and Learning (CSCL)**
  - humans and humans
  - computer-mediated
  - most information is not interpretable by computers
Classification of Collaborative Systems

DAI

CPS

CSCW

Formal

Semi-Formal

Informal
Two Major Approaches in Human-Computer Collaboration

L. Terveen “An Overview of Human-Computer Collaboration”

- **complementary approach**
  - based on the asymmetry between human and computer
  - claim: the design of the collaboration is not only a problem of simulating human to human collaboration but of inventing engineering alternatives to interaction related properties

- **emulation or replacement approach** (for example: use of natural language, speech, .......)

- **collaborative human-computer systems require**
  - to specify a division of labor between human and computer (what part of the task should be exercised by human beings and/or by the computer?)
  - to design a communication protocol that can be used to coordinate and mutually enhance the efforts of the participants
Example: Principles of Human-Centered Aircraft Automation

- **Premise:** The pilot bears the ultimate responsibility for the safety of any flight operation.

- **Axiom:** The pilot must be in command

- **Corollaries:**
  - to command effectively, the pilot must be involved
  - to be involved, the pilot must be informed
  - the pilot must be able to monitor the automated systems
  - automated systems must therefore be predictable
  - the automated systems must also be able to monitor the pilot
  - each element in the system must have knowledge of the other’s intent
Dimensions of “Human-Centered Automation”

- Direct Manual Control
- Assisted Manual Control
- Shared Control
- Management by Delegation
- Management by Consent
- Management by Exception
- Autonomous Operation

Automated to Manual Control

High to Low Human Involvement
Supporting Indirect, Long-Term Collaborative Design

- **why**
  - direct communication is impossible, impractical or undesirable
  - communication is shared around artifacts and information space evolution
  - designers need to be informed within the context of their work on real-world design problems

- **lessons learned**
  - people do not know what they do not know → information delivery techniques need to complement information access techniques
  - information access: browsing is not good enough in large information spaces and queries cannot be articulated → use the artifact itself as a query

- **more information:**
Different Dimensions of CSCW

- **Time**
  - **Place**
    - **Same**
      - Meeting rooms
      - work shifts
    - **Different Predictable**
      - Teleconferencing
      - Email
    - **Different Unpredictable**
      - Broadcast seminars
      - Computer conferences
      - Collaborative writing
      - Long-term indirect collaboration
      - Team rooms
Collaborative Work Practices


- from individual to groups (programming communities of cooperating users)

- continuum of (programming) skill from end users to “local developers / power users / gardeners “ to programmers
  - end-users = little programming education; no interest in computers per se
  - local developers = domain experts with programming knowledge and interest
  - programmers = professionally educated

- example domains: high-functionality applications (word processors, spreadsheets, multi-media environments)

- prerequisite for the development of collaborative work practices and programming communities:
  - use of a common software system
  - modification components (macros, embedded language, .....)
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WWW: From Broadcast to Collaboration Medium

M1: The Web as Broadcast Medium
- Delegation
- Web Master
- Web Users
- World Wide Web

M2: Broadcast with Feedback
- Feedback (via email or forms)
- World Wide Web
- Web Users

M3: Evolutionary and Collaborative Design
- Distributed Collaboration
- Seed
- World Wide Web
- Web Users
Example of Shared Evolvable Information Repositories

- **DynaSites** at [http://Seed.cs.colorado.edu/dynasites.documentation.fcgi](http://Seed.cs.colorado.edu/dynasites.documentation.fcgi)

- **Swiki** (collaborative websites) → [http://swiki.cs.colorado.edu:3232/dlc/40](http://swiki.cs.colorado.edu:3232/dlc/40)


- **Phoaks** (“People Helping One Another Know Stuff”): [http://www.phoaks.com/](http://www.phoaks.com/)

- **Open Source — Eric Raymond** → see: [http://www.tuxedo.org/~esr/writings/cathedral-bazaar/](http://www.tuxedo.org/~esr/writings/cathedral-bazaar/)

- **Open Systems = “open source for the rest of us”**
Open Systems

- **model: open source an intellectual paradigm requiring a new mindset**
  - an intellectual paradigm requiring a new mindset
  - objective: leverage is gained by engaging the whole world as a talent pool
  - from users/consumers \(\rightarrow\) co-designers/active contributors

- **some examples of decentralized, evolvable information repositories**
  - open source: collaborative development of software
  - the scientific method/enterprise itself
  - insight: “software/knowledge is not a commodity to be consumed but is a collaboratively designed and constructed artifact”

- **some characteristics:**
  - evolutionary design of complex systems \(\rightarrow\) seeding, evolutionary growth, reseeding (SER) model
  - success stories so far: with technically sophisticated developers (e.g., Unix Shell, Linux), not end-users

- **social capital and gift cultures:** social status is determined not by what you control but by what you give away
Self-Analysis of L3D as a Learning Organization

- homogenous versus heterogeneous computing environment

- collaborative work practices (power-user, local developers)

- jointly created and evolved information repositories:
  - Dynagloss
  - Endnote
  - Websites of Center, Research Project
  - Websites of Courses

- establish and share work practices and information:
  - information producers: “who do I tell?”
  - information consumers: “who do I ask?”
Reinterpreting Motivation at a Collaboration Level

- who is the beneficiary and who has to do the work?

- organizational memories: what will make employees want to share?

- people need to make explicit what they know and take the trouble to enter it into the system

- collaboration depends on a social and economic system which values altruism
  - capitalism is selfish
  - claim: “until the free distribution of knowledge is rewarded economically, there is little incentive for individuals and organizations to share information”
Questions about Collaboration

- how do we get people to share, and what should they share?
- what is the relation between collaborative learning and individual learning?
- what are success stories for collaboration?
- which kind of processes and artifacts are needed to support collaborative learning?
- learning organization (but: individuals learn) → how exactly does the organization learn?
  - collaborative work practices (complement each others knowledge)
  - external artifacts (products, processes, group memories)
- how much can we get a "free lunch" by capturing and repackaging information that already exists? (e.g., recommender systems (such as PHOAKS), extracting information from bookmarks, social network analysis.....)
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